arXiv:1409.4825v2 [math.AT] 16 Jun 2015

Hochschild Cochains as a Frobenius Algebra

Jerry M. Lodder

Abstract. We construct a Frobenius algebra structure on the Hochschild cochains of a group ring $k[G]$ that extends the known structure of a $\langle 1,\ 2\rangle$ topological quantum field theory on $HH^0(k[G];\, k[G])$, k a field and G a finite group. The convolution product extends to the homotopy commutative Gerstenhaber product on cochains, the Frobenius coproduct extends to a coproduct on the chain complex for Hochschild homology, and there is a pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on Hochschild cochains satisfying $\langle \alpha\cdot\beta,\ \gamma\rangle = \langle \alpha,\ \beta\cdot\gamma\rangle$. The pairing, however, degenerates on a certain subcomplex of the Hochschild cochains.

MSC Classification: 16E40, 81T40, 81T45.

Key Words: Frobenius Algebras, Hochschild Cohomology, Topological Quantum Field Theory.

1 Introduction

In this paper we investigate how Hochschild cochains become a differential graded homotopy commutative Frobenius algebra and thus a target for a $\langle 1,\, 2\rangle$ topological quantum field theory [2] from the category of oriented compact one-manifolds with cobordisms as morphisms to the category of (co)chain complexes and (co)chain maps (up to chain homotopy). Since the direct sum of the Hochschild cochains (over all dimensions) remains an infinite dimensional vector space, the finite dimensionality axiom of a TQFT is not satisfied. Specifically, let k be a field and G a finite group. The Hochschild cochains on $k[G]$ carry a product structure given by the Gerstenhaber product, defined on Hochschild's original cochain complex

$$\big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes *},\ k[G]),\ \delta\big).$$

By the work of Tradler and Zeinalian [14] and its generalizations [6, 7, 15], the cochain complex $\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes *},\ k[G])$ carries the structure of a PROP over the (chains on) cyclic Sullivan diagrams. This, however, does not induce a $\langle 1,\, 2\rangle$ TQFT on $HH^*(k[G];\, k[G])$, since a counit and pairing are missing. Again, because $HH^*(k[G];\, k[G])$ is not a finite dimensional vector space (summed over all dimensions), a strict $\langle 1,\, 2\rangle$ TQFT on $HH^*(k[G];\, k[G])$ is not possible.

The goal is this paper is to work instead with the b^* cochain complex

$$\big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(*+1)},\ k),\ b^*\big).$$

A duality pairing $k[G]\otimes k[G] \to k$ for group rings induces a cochain map from $\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes *},\ k[G])$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(*+1)},\ k)$. On the b^* cochain complex, the Gerstenhaber product becomes an extension of the convolution product known to exist on the strict $\langle 1,\, 2\rangle$ TQFT offered by $HH^0(k[G];\, k[G])$ [13]. The main point of using the b^* cochain complex, however, is that there is a "Gerstenhaber coproduct" on the chain complex for Hochschild homology, computed via the b-boundary map. Let $C_n = k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)}$ and $C_* = \big(\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n,\ b\big)$ be the chain complex for Hochschild homology. We construct an explicit chain map

$$T : C_* \to C_* \otimes C_*$$

that is dual to the Gerstenhaber product

$$m : W_* \otimes W_* \to W_*, \quad m(\alpha \otimes \beta) := \alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta,$$

where $W_n = \mathrm{Hom}_k(C_n,\ k)$. Moreover, we define a pairing $\langle\ \ \rangle : W_* \otimes W_* \to k$ so that

$$\langle \alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta,\ \gamma\rangle = \langle \alpha,\ \beta \underset{G}{\cdot} \gamma\rangle,$$

which establishes that W_* is a Frobenius algebra, commutative up to homotopy. The pairing, however, fails to be non-degenerate, and we establish a subcomplex on which $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ degenerates, namely

$$V_p = \{\alpha \in W_p \mid \alpha(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) = 0\ \forall h \in G\},\ p \geq 0,$$

where $N = \sum_{g\in G} g$ is the norm element of $k[G]$.

Behind the Hochschild homology of the group ring $k[G]$ is the simplicial set $N^{\mathrm{cy}}_*(G)$, the cyclic bar construction of G [8, 7.3.10], with geometric realization

$$|N^{\mathrm{cy}}_*(G)| \simeq \mathrm{Maps}(S^1,\ BG),$$

where S^1 denotes the unit circle and BG is the classifying space of G. Recall that $N_n^{\mathrm{cy}}(G) = G^{n+1}$ and there is a subsimplicial set of $N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G)$ given by

$$N_n^{\mathrm{cy}}(G,\, e) = \{(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n) \in G^{n+1} \mid g_0 g_1 \ldots g_n = e\}$$

with $|N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G,\, e)| \simeq BG$, which realizes constant maps of S^1 into BG (the S^1-fixed points of $\mathrm{Maps}(S^1,\ BG)$). Within the Hochschild complex $W_n = \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)},\, k)$ we define cochains supported on BG as elements $\alpha \in W_n$ with $\alpha(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n) = 0$ whenever $g_0 g_1 \ldots g_n \neq e$. These cochains form a differential graded subcomplex of W_*, closed under the Gerstenhaber product, and thus a subalgebra. Moreover, for cochains supported on BG, the Gerstenhaber product agrees with the simplicial cup product, and Gerstenhaber's pre-Lie product agrees with Steenrod's cup-one product. This establishes that the cochain complex for group cohomology, under the simplicial cup product, is a homotopy commutative subalgebra of W_* under the Gerstenhaber product.

Recall that $b : k[G]^{\otimes 2} \to k[G]$ is given by the commutator $b(g_0,\, g_1) = g_0 g_1 - g_1 g_0$, and

$$H = \mathrm{Ker}\, b^* : \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G],\ k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes 2},\ k)$$

carries the structure of a $\langle 1,\ 2\rangle$ TQFT, at least when G is finite and k a field [13]. For $\alpha,\, \beta \in H$, the product is given by the convolution product $\alpha * \beta$,

$$(\alpha * \beta)(g_0) = \sum_{h \in G} \alpha(h)\beta(g_0 h^{-1}).$$

The coproduct $T : H \to H \otimes H$ is induced by

$$T(g_0^*) = \sum_{h \in G} h^* \otimes (g_0 h^{-1})^*,$$

where g^* is the element of $\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G],\ k)$ dual to $g \in G$. Using $V = HH_0(k[G];\, k[G])$ and $V^* \simeq H$, the coproduct $T : V \to V \otimes V$ can also be written as

$$T(g_0) = \sum_{h \in G} h \otimes g_0 h^{-1}.$$

The duality pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : H \otimes H \to k$ is given by

$$\langle \alpha,\, \beta \rangle = \sum_{h \in G} \alpha(h)\beta(h^{-1}).$$

We show how each of the above, the convolution product, the coproduct and the pairing can be extended to the full Hochschild cochain complex. Although the resulting Frobenius algebra structure is stated for finite groups, many constructions work for infinite groups and we work with an arbitrary discrete group where possible.

2 Hochschild Cohomology

Let k be a unital, commutative and associative coefficient ring and let A be an associative algebra over k. Recall that Hochschild's original definition [4, 5] for $HH^*(A;\, A)$, the Hochschild cohomology of A with coefficients in the bimodule A is given as the homology of the cochain complex:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_k(k,\, A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A,\, A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \\ &\dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n},\, A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes (n+1)},\, A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots .\end{aligned}$$

For a k-linear map $f : A^{\otimes n} \to A$, the coboundary $\delta f : A^{\otimes (n+1)} \to A$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&(\delta f)(a_1,\, a_2,\, \dots\, , a_{n+1}) = a_1 f(a_2,\, \dots\, , a_{n+1}) + \\ &\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i f(a_1,\, a_2,\, \dots\, , a_i a_{i+1},\, \dots\, , a_n)\Big) + (-1)^{n+1} f(a_1,\, a_2,\, \dots\, , a_n) a_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Of course, for $n = 0$, $(\delta f)(a_1) = a_1 f(1) - f(1) a_1$. For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes p},\, A)$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes q},\, A)$, the Gerstenhaber (cup) product [3]

$$f \underset{G}{\cdot} g \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes (p+q)},\, A)$$

is given by

$$(f \underset{G}{\cdot} g)(a_1,\, a_2,\, \dots\, ,\, a_{p+q}) = f(a_1,\, \dots\, ,\, a_p) \cdot g(a_{p+1},\, \dots\, ,\, a_{p+q}).$$

Gerstenhaber proves that $f \underset{G}{\cdot} g$ induces a graded commutative product on $HH^*(A;\, A)$. If $f \in HH^p(A;\, A)$ and $g \in HH^q(A;\, A)$, then $f \underset{G}{\cdot} g \in HH^{p+q}(A;\, A)$.

On the other hand, the Hochschild homology groups [10, X.4] $HH_*(A;\, A)$ are given by the homology of the chain complex

$$A \xleftarrow{b} A^{\otimes 2} \xleftarrow{b} \dots \xleftarrow{b} A^{\otimes n} \xleftarrow{b} A^{\otimes (n+1)} \xleftarrow{b} \dots ,$$

where for $(a_0,\, a_1,\, \ldots\, , a_n) \in A^{\otimes(n+1)}$,

$$b(a_0,\, a_1,\, \ldots\, , a_n) =$$
$$\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i(a_0,\, \ldots\, , a_ia_{i+1},\, \ldots\, , a_n)\Big) + (-1)^n(a_na_0, , a_1,\, \ldots\, , a_n).$$

By $(HH^*(A),\, b^*)$ we mean the homology of the Hom_k-dual of the b-complex, given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(A,\, k) \xrightarrow{b^*} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes 2},\, k) \xrightarrow{b^*} \ldots$$
$$\ldots \xrightarrow{b^*} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n},\, k) \xrightarrow{b^*} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes(n+1)},\, k) \xrightarrow{b^*} \ldots .$$

For a k-linear map $\varphi : A^{\otimes n} \to k$, $b^*(\varphi) : A^{\otimes(n+1)} \to k$ is given by

$$b^*(\varphi)(a_0,\, \ldots\, ,\, a_n) = \varphi(b(a_0,\, \ldots\, ,\, a_n)).$$

Let $A = k[G]$ be a group ring. For $g,\, h \in G$, define a symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : k[G] \times k[G] \to k$ with

$$\langle g,\, h\rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & h = g^{-1} \\ 0, & h \neq g^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Then extend $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ to be linear in each factor, which results in a k-linear map on the tensor product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : k[G] \otimes k[G] \to k$. There is an injective cochain map

$$\Phi_n : \big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes n},\ k[G]),\ \delta\big) \to \big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)},\ k),\ b^*\big), \quad n \geq 0,$$

given by

$$\Phi_n(f)(g_0,\, g_1,\, g_2,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n) = \langle g_0,\ f(g_1,\, g_2,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)\rangle.$$

When G is finite, Φ_n is, of course, an isomorphism of cochain complexes.

For G an arbitrary group, we introduce a particular formula for Φ_n used throughout the paper. Recall that for k an arbitrary unital, commutative coefficient ring, $k[G]$ is a free k-module with basis given by the elements of G. For $g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots,\, g_n \in G$ and $h_1,\, h_2,\, \ldots,\, h_n \in G$, let

$$(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{\#} : k[G]^{\otimes n} \to k[G]$$

denote the k-linear map determined by

$$(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)^{\#}(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) = \begin{cases} g_0, & h_1 = g_1, \dots, h_n = g_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Additionally, for $h_0 \in G$, let $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)^* : k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)} \to k$ be the k-linear map determined by

$$(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)^*(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & h_0 = g_0, h_1 = g_1, \dots, h_n = g_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Under this notation,

$$\Phi_n\big((g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)^{\#}\big) = (g_0^{-1}, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)^*.$$

Let $I_n = \operatorname{Im}\Phi_n \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)}, k)$. Then $I_* = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a subcomplex of $\operatorname{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(*+1)}, k)$, and there is a cochain map [9, Lemma 2.3]

$$\Psi_n : I_n \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes n}, k[G]), \quad n \geq 0,$$

induced by

$$\Psi_n\big((g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)^*\big) = (g_0^{-1}, g_1, g_2, \dots, , g_n)^{\#}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then Ψ_n is extended via k-linearity to all of I_n. Clearly $\Psi_n \circ \Phi_n = \mathbf{1}$ on $\operatorname{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes n}, k[G])$, showing that Φ_n is injective on cochains for an arbitrary discrete group G.

Lemma 2.1. *For any discrete group G, k a field, the induced map*

$$\Phi^* : HH^*(k[G]; k[G]) \to \big(HH^*(k[G]), b^*\big)$$

is injective.

Proof. We first borrow some ideas from the universal coefficient theorem, which states that for k a field, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\big(HH^*(k[G]), b^*\big) \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(HH_*(k[G]; k[G]), k).$$

Let $C_* = \big(k[G]^{\otimes(*+1)},\, b\big)$ be the chain complex for Hochschild homology. As vector spaces, $C_* \simeq A_* \oplus (B_* \oplus E_*)$, where B_* is the subspace of boundaries of C_*, $A_* \simeq Z_*/B_*$, Z_* is the subspace of cycles of C_*, and E_* is a complementary subspace. As chain complexes,

$$(C_*,\, b) \simeq (A_*,\, 0) \oplus (B_* \oplus E_*,\, b),$$

where the boundary map of A_* is 0. Clearly, $A_* = H_*(A_*) \simeq HH_*(k[G];\, k[G])$. As cochain complexes,

$$\big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(C_*,\, k),\, b^*\big) \simeq \big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(A_*,\, k),\, 0\big) \oplus \big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(B_* \oplus E_*,\, k),\, b^*\big).$$

On cohomology $\mathrm{Im}\Phi^*$ is contained in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(A_*,\, k) = H^*(\mathrm{Hom}_k(A_*,\, k)) \simeq \big(HH^*(k[G]),\, b^*\big).$$

Let J_n be the subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A_n,\, k)$ given by $\alpha : A_n \to k$ with α finitely supported on the first tensor factor of $k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)}$, i.e., for any $x_i \in G$,

$$\alpha(h,\, x_1,\, x_2,\, \ldots\, ,\, x_n) \neq 0$$

for only finitely many $h \in G$. We claim that $\mathrm{Im}(\Phi_n^*) = J_n$ on cohomology. First, let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes n},\, k[G])$. Then $f(x_1,\, x_2,\, \ldots\, ,\, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i h_i$, where each c_i is a non-zero element of k. Thus, $\Phi_n(f)(h,\, x_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, x_n) \neq 0$ only for $h = h_i^{-1}$, $i = 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\, , m$, and $\mathrm{Im}(\Phi_n^*) \subseteq J_n$. Second, let $\alpha \in J_n$. Then $\alpha(h,\, x_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, x_n) \neq 0$ only for finitely many $h = h_1,\, h_2,\, \ldots\, ,\, h_p$, and $\Psi_n(\alpha) \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes n},\, k[G])$, where Ψ is the cochain map given via equation (2.1). Thus, $\Phi_n(\Psi_n(\alpha)) = \alpha$, and $J_n \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\Phi_n^*)$.

As vector spaces, $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A_n,\, k) \simeq J_n \oplus M_n$, where M_n is a complementary subspace. Finally, Ψ extends to a cochain map

$$\Psi_n : \big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(A_n,\, k),\, 0\big) \to \big(\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes n},\, k[G]),\, \delta\big)$$

by setting $\Psi(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in M_n$. If $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes n},\, k[G])$ represents a cohomology class in $HH^n(k[G];\, k[G])$, then using the explicit formulas for Φ_n and Ψ_n, we have

$$\Psi_n^* \circ \Phi_n^*([f]) = [\Psi_n \circ \Phi_n(f)] = [f].$$

Thus, $\Psi^* \circ \Phi^* = \mathbf{1}$, proving the injectivity of Φ^*. Since the injectivity of Φ^* holds for an arbitrary field k, the result also holds for $k = \mathbf{Z}$. $\square$

The above lemma suggests the study of b^* cohomology, $\big(HH^*(k[G]),\, b^*\big)$, as a target for a TQFT, since (i) b^* cohomology contains an injective image of $HH^*(k[G];\, k[G])$, and (ii) b^* cohomology is more closely related to the free loop space and string theory via

$$\big(HH^*(k[G]),\, b^*\big) \simeq H^*(\mathrm{Maps}(S^1,\, BG)),$$

k any commutative, unital coefficient ring.

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be an arbitrary discrete group, k a field. If* $\mathrm{Maps}(S^1,\, BG)$ *is of finite type, i.e., $HH_n(k[G];\, k[G])$ is a finite dimensional vector space for each n, then the induced map*

$$\Phi^* : HH^*(k[G];\, k[G]) \to \big(HH^*(k[G]),\, b^*\big)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Using the notation of Lemma (2.1) , we see that $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A_n,\, k)$ is a finite dimensional vector space for each n. Thus, $\Psi^* : \mathrm{Hom}_k(A_n,\, k) \to HH^*(k[G];\, k[G])$ is an isomorphism. Since the result holds for an arbitrary field k, Φ^* is also an isomorphism for $k = \mathbf{Z}$ when $\mathrm{Maps}(S^1,\, BG)$ is of finite type. □

We now define the Gerstenhaber product on the complex I_*.

Definition 2.3. *Let*

$$\alpha \in I_p \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(p+1)},\, k), \quad \beta \in I_q \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(q+1)},\, k).$$

Then

$$\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta \in I_{p+q} \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(p+q+1)},\, k)$$

is defined by

$$\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta = \Phi_{p+q}\big(\Psi_p(\alpha) \underset{G}{\cdot} \Psi_q(\beta)\big).$$

Lemma 2.4. *The Gerstenhaber product is well-defined on $(HH^*(I_*),\, b^*)$, the Hochschild cohomology of the I_* complex.*

Proof. With α and β as in Definition (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} b^*(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta) &= \Phi_{p+q+1}\big(\delta(\Psi_p(\alpha) \underset{G}{\cdot} \Psi_q(\beta))\big) \\ &= \Phi_{p+q+1}\big(\delta(\Psi_p(\alpha)) \underset{G}{\cdot} \Psi_q(\beta) + (-1)^p \Psi_p(\alpha) \underset{G}{\cdot} \delta(\Psi_q(\beta))\big) \\ &= \Phi_{p+q+1}\big(\Psi_p(b^*(\alpha)) \underset{G}{\cdot} \Psi_q(\beta) + (-1)^p \Psi_p(\alpha) \underset{G}{\cdot} \Psi_q(b^*(\beta))\big). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.5. *Let*

$$\alpha = (\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_p)^* \in I_p, \quad \alpha_i \in G,$$
$$\beta = (\beta_0,\ \beta_1,\ \ldots,\ \beta_q)^* \in I_q, \quad \beta_i \in G.$$

Then

$$\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta = (\beta_0\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_p,\ \beta_1,\ \ldots,\ \beta_q)^*.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta &= \Psi_{p+q}((\alpha_0^{-1},\, \alpha_1,\, \ldots,\, \alpha_p)^{\#} \underset{G}{\cdot} (\beta_0^{-1},\, \beta_1,\, \ldots,\, \beta_q)^{\#}) \\ &= \Psi_{p+q}((\alpha_0^{-1}\beta_0^{-1},\ \alpha_1,\, \ldots,\, \alpha_p,\ \beta_1,\, \ldots,\, \beta_q)^{\#} \\ &= (\beta_0\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_p,\ \beta_1,\ \ldots,\ \beta_q)^*\end{aligned}$$

□

The above lemma express the Gerstenhaber product on natural k-module generators of I_*, which by linearity becomes the convolution product on I_*, proven below.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\alpha \in I_p$ and $\beta \in I_q$ be arbitrary. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots,\, g_p,\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots,\, g_{p+q}) \\ &= \sum_{h \in G} \alpha(h,\, g_1,\, \ldots,\, g_p)\beta(g_0h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\ \ldots,\, g_{p+q}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the special case $\alpha = (\alpha_0,\, \alpha_1,\, \ldots,\, \alpha_p)^*$ and $\beta = (\beta_0,\, \beta_1,\, \ldots,\, \beta_q)^*$, it follows from

$$\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta = (\beta_0\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_p,\ \beta_1,\ \ldots,\ \beta_q)^*$$

that $(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots,\, g_{p+q})$ is non-zero only if $g_0 = \beta_0\alpha_0$. Also, the only non-zero summand in

$$\sum_{h \in G} \alpha(h,\, g_1,\, \ldots,\, g_p)\beta(g_0h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots,\, g_{p+q})$$

occurs when $h = \alpha_0$ and $g_0 = \beta_0\alpha_0$, in which case

$$(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)(g_0,\, \ldots,\, g_{p+q}) = \sum_{h \in G} \alpha(h,\, g_1,\, \ldots,\, g_p)\beta(g_0h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots,\, g_{p+q}).$$

The lemma follows by linearity, with the details below.

For arbitrary $\alpha \in I_p$, $\beta \in I_q$, let $f_1 = \Psi_p(\alpha)$, $f_2 = \Psi_q(\beta)$. Then $f_1(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i\mu_i$, where $c_i \in k$ and μ_i are distinct elements of G. Thus, for fixed g_1, g_2, ..., $g_p \in G$, $\alpha(h, g_1, \ldots, g_p)$ is non-zero on only finitely many $h \in G$. A similar statement holds for $\beta(g_0h^{-1}, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q})$. Thus the sum

$$\sum_{h\in G} \alpha(h, g_1, \ldots, g_p)\beta(g_0h^{-1}, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q})$$

is well-defined, even when G is an infinite group. Consider

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(a_i, g_1, \ldots, g_p)^*, \quad a_i \in G,\ c_i \in k,$$
$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell_j(b_j, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q})^*, \quad b_j \in G,\ \ell_j \in k.$$

From Lemma (2.5)

$$(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta) = \sum_{i,j} c_i\ell_j(b_ja_i, g_1, \ldots, g_{p+q})^*.$$

The only non-zero terms of $(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)^*(g_0, \ldots, g_{p+q})$ occur when $g_0 = b_ja_i$ for some i and j. Likewise the only non-zero summands of

$$\sum_{h\in G} \alpha(h, g_1, \ldots, g_p)\beta(g_0h^{-1}, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q})$$

occur when $h = a_i$ and $g_0 = b_ja_i$, in which case

$$(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)(g_0, \ldots, g_{p+q}) = \sum_{h\in G} \alpha(h, g_1, \ldots, g_p)\beta(g_0h^{-1}, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q}).$$

□

Definition 2.7. *An element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G], k)$ with $\alpha(h) \neq 0$ for only finitely many $h \in G$ is called finitely supported.*

Note that for $\alpha \in I_0 \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G], k)$, α is finitely supported.

Corollary 2.8. *For α, $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G], k)$ finitely supported, the Gerstenhaber product is given by the convolution product, i.e.,*

$$\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta = \alpha * \beta = \sum_{h \in G} \alpha(h)\beta(g_0 h^{-1}).$$

The unit $u \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G], k)$ for the Gerstenhaber product on I_q, $q \geq 0$, is given by

$$u(h) = \begin{cases} 1, & h = e, \quad h \in G, \\ 0, & h \neq e, \quad h \in G. \end{cases}$$

3 The Gerstenhaber Coproduct

In this section we show that the Frobenius coproduct on a group ring can be extended to a coproduct on the chain complex for Hochschild homology that becomes essentially a "Gerstenhaber coproduct." The results are most easily stated for a finite group G, although formally can be extended to infinite groups by using completed group rings, completed tensor products and coefficients in a p-adic completion $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. For G finite, let

$$T : k[G] \to k[G] \otimes k[G]$$

be the k-linear map with $T(g_0) = \sum_{h \in G} h \otimes g_0 h^{-1}$, where $g_0 \in G$. Then T is often called the Frobenius coproduct. We refrain from using Δ for the Gerstenhaber coproduct, since Δ denotes the simplicial coproduct. Let $C_n = k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)}$, $n \geq 0$, be the chain complex for $HH_*(k[G]; k[G])$. Then $C_* = k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G)]$, where $N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G)$ denotes the cyclic bar construction on G [8, 7.3.10].

Let $C_* \otimes C_*$ denote the tensor product of chain complexes, i.e.,

$$(C_* \otimes C_*)_m = \sum_{p=0}^{m} C_p \otimes C_{m-p}, \quad m \geq 0,$$

with differential $b^{\mathrm{Tot}} = b \otimes \mathbf{1} + (-1)^p \mathbf{1} \otimes b$,

$$b^{\mathrm{Tot}} : C_p \otimes C_{m-p} \to (C_{p-1} \otimes C_{m-p}) \oplus (C_p \otimes C_{m-p-1}).$$

It is an interesting exercise to show that $T : k[G] \to k[G] \otimes k[G]$ described above is the beginning of a chain map $T : C_* \to C_* \otimes C_*$.

Theorem 3.1. *The k-linear map*

$$T : C_* \to C_* \otimes C_*,$$
$$T : C_m \to \sum_{p=0}^{m} C_p \otimes C_{m-p},$$
$$T(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_m) = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{h\in G} (h,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_p) \otimes (g_0 h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots\, ,\, g_m)$$

is a map of chain complexes, i.e., $T \circ b = b^{\mathrm{Tot}} \circ T$.

Proof. Recall that

$$W_n := \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)},\ k) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(C_n,\, k).$$

Since $m : W_* \otimes W_* \to W_*$ given by $m(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta$ is a map of cochain complexes, there is a map of chain complexes

$$m^* : \mathrm{Hom}_k(W_*,\, k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(W_* \otimes W_*,\, k).$$

For G finite, there is a natural vector space isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_k(W_n,\, k) \simeq k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)}$ given by

$$(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{**} \longleftrightarrow (g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n).$$

By construction of m^*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &m^*((g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{**})(\alpha \otimes \beta) \\ &= (g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{**}(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta) \\ &= (\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n) \\ &= \sum_{h\in G} \alpha(h,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_p)\beta(g_0 h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\ \ldots\, ,\, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\sum_{h\in G} (h,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_p)^{**} \otimes (g_0 h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{**}\Big)(\alpha \otimes \beta) \\ &= \sum_{h\in G} (h,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_p)^{**}(\alpha)(g_0 h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{**}(\beta) \\ &= \sum_{h\in G} \alpha(h,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_p)\beta(g_0 h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$m^*((g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{**}) = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{h\in G} (h,\, g_1,\, \ldots\, ,\, g_p)^{**} \otimes (g_0 h^{-1},\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots\, ,\, g_n)^{**}.$$

Under the double hom-dual functor on vector spaces and linear maps, the morphism m^* corresponds to the morphism T given in the statement of the theorem. □

Thus, there is an induced map $T_* : HH_*(k[G];\, k[G]) \to H_*(C_* \otimes C_*)$. For k a field, we have

$$T_* : HH_*(k[G];\, k[G]) \to HH_*(k[G];\, k[G]) \otimes HH_*(k[G];\, k[G]).$$

The counit (trace) $\tau : k[G]^{\otimes n+1} \to k$ is given by $\tau(\sigma) = 0$, $n \geq 1$, and for $n = 0$,

$$\tau\big(\sum_{h\in G} c_h\, h\big) = c_e,$$

where c_e is the coefficient on the identity element $e \in G$. Then

$$(\tau \otimes \mathbf{1})(T(\sigma)) = \sigma, \quad \sigma \in k[G]^{\otimes(p+1)}.$$

As usual, for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(p+1)},\, k)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(q+1)},\, k)$, $\alpha \otimes \beta \in \mathrm{Hom}(C_* \otimes C_*,\, k)$ is defined by

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta)(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) = \alpha(\sigma_1)\beta(\sigma_2),$$

where $\alpha(\sigma_1) = 0$ if $\sigma_1 \in k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)}$, $n \neq p$, and $\beta(\sigma_2) = 0$ if $\sigma_2 \in k[G]^{\otimes(m+1)}$, $m \neq q$. Then

$$(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)(\sigma) = (\alpha \otimes \beta)(T(\sigma)), \quad \sigma \in k[G]^{\otimes(p+q+1)}. \tag{3.1}$$

In the sense of equation (3.1), T is a coproduct for the Gerstenhaber product.

4 A Frobenius Algebra on Cochains

In this section we work with a finite group G and a field k. We claim that the Hochschild cochains $(\mathrm{Hom}(k[G]^{\otimes(*+1)},\, b^*)$ under the Gerstenhaber (convolution) product form a differential graded homotopy commutative Frobenius

algebra. Let $W_n = \mathrm{Hom}(k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)}, k)$ and $W_* = \sum_{n\geq 0} W_n$. For $\alpha \in W_p$ and $\beta \in W_q$, recall from Lemma (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta)(g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_p, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q})\\ &= \sum_{h\in G} \alpha(h, g_1, \ldots, g_p)\beta(g_0h^{-1}, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q}).\end{aligned}$$

The chain homotopy between $\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta$ and $(-1)^{pq}\beta \underset{G}{\cdot} \alpha$ is, of course, given by Gerstenhaber's pre-Lie product [3].

For $\alpha \in W_p$ and $\beta \in W_q$, the pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : W_* \otimes W_* \to k$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle &= \sum_{h, g_1, \ldots, g_{p+q}\in G} \alpha(h, g_1, \ldots, g_p)\beta(h^{-1}, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q})\\ &= \sum_{h\in G} \alpha(h, N, N, \ldots, N)\beta(h^{-1}, N, N, \ldots, N),\end{aligned}$$

where $N = \sum_{g\in G} g$ is the so-called norm element of $k[G]$. Clearly, the pairing is symmetric, i.e., $\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha\rangle$.

Lemma 4.1. *For $\alpha \in W_p$, $\beta \in W_q$ and $\gamma \in W_r$, we have*

$$\langle \alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta,\ \gamma\rangle = \langle \alpha,\ \beta \underset{G}{\cdot} \gamma\rangle.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta,\ \gamma\rangle = \sum_{\mu, h\in G} ABC, \quad A = \alpha(\mu, N, \ldots, N),\\ &B = \beta(h\mu^{-1}, N, \ldots, N), \quad C = \gamma(h^{-1}, N, \ldots, N).\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \alpha,\ \beta \underset{G}{\cdot} \gamma\rangle = \sum_{\lambda, \ell, \in G} DEF, \quad D = \alpha(\ell, N, \ldots, N),\\ &E = \beta(\lambda, N, \ldots, N), \quad F = \gamma(\ell^{-1}\lambda^{-1}, N, \ldots, N).\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\mu = \ell$ and $h = \lambda\mu$, we see that

$$\langle \alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta,\ \gamma\rangle = \langle \alpha,\ \beta \underset{G}{\cdot} \gamma\rangle.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *The pairing* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : W_* \otimes W_* \to k$ *induces a well-defined map*

$$(HH^p(k[G]),\, b^*) \otimes (HH^q(k[G]),\, b^*) \to k$$

for (i) p odd, q odd, (ii) p even, q odd, (iii) p odd, q even, and (iv) $p = 0$, $q = 0$.

Proof. Let $\alpha : k[G]^{\otimes(p+1)} \to k$ and $\beta : k[G]^{\otimes(q+1)} \to k$ denote cocycles. Let $\gamma : k[G]^{\otimes p} \to k$ be an arbitrary cochain. In the group ring $k[G]$, $N^2 = \nu N$, where ν is the order of G. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \alpha + b^*(\gamma),\, \beta\rangle = \langle \alpha,\, \beta\rangle + \langle b^*(\gamma),\, \beta\rangle,\\
&\langle b^*(\gamma),\, \beta\rangle = \sum_{h\in G} b^*(\gamma)(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\beta(h^{-1},\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N).
\end{aligned}$$

For case (i),

$$\begin{aligned}
b^*(\gamma)(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) = {}& \gamma(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, , N) - \gamma(h,\, N^2,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) + \\
& \ldots + \gamma(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N^2) - \gamma(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\langle \alpha + b^*(\gamma),\, \beta\rangle = \langle \alpha,\, \beta\rangle$. Similarly in case (i), $\langle \alpha,\, \beta + b^*(\theta)\rangle = \langle \alpha,\, \beta\rangle$, where $\theta : k[G]^{\otimes q} \to k$ is an arbitrary cochain.

For case (ii),

$$b^*(\gamma)(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) = 2\gamma(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) - \nu\gamma(h,\, N,\, \ldots\ N).$$

Since β is a cocycle,

$$0 = b^*(\beta)(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) = 2\beta(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) - \nu\beta(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle b^*(\gamma),\, \beta\rangle &= 2\gamma(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\beta(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\\
&\quad - \sum_{h\in G} \nu\gamma(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\beta(h^{-1},\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\\
&= 2\gamma(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\beta(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\\
&\quad - 2\sum_{h\in G} \gamma(h,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N)\beta(N,\, N,\, \ldots\, ,\, N) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case (iii) follows by symmetry from case (ii). Case (iv) is well-known. □

The pairing $\langle\ \rangle : W_* \otimes W_* \to k$, however, is not non-degenerate, since if $\alpha(h, N, \dots, N) = 0$ for all $h \in G$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ for all $\beta \in W_*$. In fact, it can be shown that given $\alpha \in W_p$ with $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ for all β, then $\alpha(h, N, \dots, N) = 0$ for all $h \in G$. Let

$$V_p = \{\alpha \in W_p \mid \alpha(h, N, \dots, N) = 0\ \forall h \in G\}.$$

Then (V_*, b^*) is in fact a subcomplex of (W_*, b^*). With the above restrictions, we still have:

Corollary 4.3. *The cochain complex (W_*, b^*) is a differential graded homotopy commutative Frobenius algebra under the Gerstenhaber product and pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ defined above.*

The product $m : W_* \otimes W_* \to W_*$ is a generalized convolution product and $T^* : W_* \to W_* \otimes W_*$ is the convolution coproduct. Consequently any chain map $W_*^{\otimes n} \to W_*^{\otimes m}$ that is a composition of the maps m and T^* has a simple expression in terms of generalized convolution products and coproducts.

For $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$N_n^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e) = \{(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^{n+1} \mid g_0 g_1 \dots g_n = e\}.$$

Then $N^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)$ is a subsimplicial set of $N^{\mathrm{cy}}(G)$ with geometric realization $|N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)| \simeq BG$, the classifying space of G. We say that

$$\alpha \in W_n = \mathrm{Hom}_k(k[G]^{\otimes(n+1)},\ k)$$

is supported on BG if $\alpha(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$ for $g_0 g_1 \dots g_n \neq e$. A direct calculation of the b^* coboundary map shows that cocycles supported on BG form a subcomplex of the Hochschild complex (W_*, b^*). In symbols, let

$$W_n(e) = \{\alpha \in W_n \mid \alpha(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0 \ \text{ if } \ g_0 g_1 \dots g_n \neq e\},$$

and let $W_*(e) = \sum_{n \geq 0} W_n(e)$ be the graded cochain complex with b^* as coboundary. Then with coefficients in an arbitrary commutative coefficient ring k, we have

$$H^*(W_*(e);\, k) \simeq H^*(BG;\, k).$$

Lemma 4.4. *Under the Gerstenhaber product $W_*(e)$ becomes a differential graded subalgebra of the full Hochschild cochain complex (W_*, b^*).*

Proof. The proof follows from a direct calculation using, for example, Lemma (2.5),

$$\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta = (\beta_0\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \ldots\ ,\ \alpha_p,\ \beta_1,\ \ldots\ ,\ \beta_q)^*,$$

where

$$\alpha = (\alpha_0,\, \alpha_1,\, \ldots\ ,\, \alpha_p)^*, \quad \beta = (\beta_0,\, \beta_1,\, \ldots\ ,\, \beta_q)^*.$$

The result is also implicit in [1] and [11]. □

Since $N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G)$ is a simplicial set, the cochain complex W_* is endowed with a second product, the simplicial cup product, $\alpha \underset{S}{\cdot} \beta$. In particular, for $\alpha \in W_p$ and $\beta \in W_q$, the simplicial product $\alpha \underset{S}{\cdot} \beta \in W_{p+q}$ is given by

$$(\alpha \underset{S}{\cdot} \beta)(g_0,\, g_1,\, \ldots\ ,\, g_p,\, g_{p+1},\, \ldots\ ,\, g_{p+q}) =$$
$$\alpha((g_{p+1}g_{p+2}\ldots g_{p+q}g_0),\ g_1,\ g_2,\ \ldots\ ,\ g_p)\beta((g_0g_1\ldots g_p),\ g_{p+1},\ \ldots\ ,\ g_{p+q}),$$

which is α evaluated on the front p-face and β evaluated on the back q-face of $\sigma = (g_0,\, \ldots\ ,\, g_{p+q})$. When restricted to $W_*(e)$, the product $\alpha \underset{S}{\cdot} \beta$ realizes the usual cup product on the cochain complex for group cohomology, $H^*(BG;\, k)$.

Lemma 4.5. *On the differential graded subalgebra $W_*(e)$, the Gerstenhaber product is identical to the simplicial cup product, i.e., for $\alpha \in W_p$ and $\beta \in W_q$, we have $\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta = \alpha \underset{S}{\cdot} \beta$.*

Proof. This follows from a direct calculation [9]. □

The above cochain equality realizes that $H^*(BG;\, k)$ is a subalgebra of $HH^*(k[G];\, k[G])$, which is proven in [1] and [11]. More is true, namely $W_*(e)$ under the Gerstenhaber product is isomporphic to $W_*(e)$ under the simplicial product as differential graded *homotopy commutative* algebras. For $\alpha \in W_p$ and $\beta \in W_q$, let $\alpha \circ \beta \in W_{p+q-1}$ denote the pre-Lie product of Gerstenhaber [3], which is a cochain homotopy between $\alpha \underset{G}{\cdot} \beta$ and $(-1)^{pq}\beta \underset{G}{\cdot} \alpha$. Also let $\alpha \underset{1,\,S}{\cdot} \beta \in W_{p+q-1}$ denote Steenrod's cup-one product [12], which is a cochain homotopy between $\alpha \underset{S}{\cdot} \beta$ and $(-1)^{pq}\beta \underset{S}{\cdot} \alpha$.

Lemma 4.6. *For $\alpha \in W_p(e)$ and $\beta \in W_q(e)$, we have $\alpha \circ \beta = \alpha \underset{1,\,S}{\cdot} \beta$ as cochains.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.11 and Corollary 3.12 of [9]. □

We now show that the simplicial coproduct $\Delta : C_* \to C_* \otimes C_*$ agrees with the Gerstenhaber coproduct $T : C_* \to C_* \otimes C_*$ when restricted to the subsimplicial complex $k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)]$ of $C_* = k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G)]$. Recall that for $\sigma \in N_m^{\mathrm{cy}}(G) = G^{m+1}$,

$$\Delta(\sigma) = \sum_{p=0}^{m} f_p(\sigma) \otimes b_{m-p}(\sigma),$$

where $f_p(\sigma)$ denotes the front p-face of σ and $b_q(\sigma)$ denotes the back q-face of σ.

Lemma 4.7. *When restricted to* $k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)]$

$$T : k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)] \to k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)] \otimes k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)]$$

agrees with

$$\Delta : k[N_*^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)] \to C_* \otimes C_*.$$

Proof. For $\sigma \in N_m^{\mathrm{cy}}(G, e)$, $\sigma = (g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_m)$, we have

$$T(\sigma) = e \otimes \sigma + \sum_{p=1}^{m} \left((g_1 g_2 \ldots g_p)^{-1}, g_1, \ldots, g_p\right) \otimes (g_0 g_1 \ldots g_p, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_m).$$

Also,

$$\Delta(\sigma) = e \otimes \sigma + \sum_{p=1}^{m} (g_{p+1} g_{p+2} \ldots g_m g_0, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_p) \otimes (g_0 g_1 \ldots g_p, g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_m).$$

Since $g_0 g_1 \ldots g_m = e$, it follows that $(g_1 g_2 \ldots g_p)^{-1} = g_{p+1} g_{p+2} \ldots g_m g_0$. □

References

[1] Farinati, M.; Solotar, M., "G-Structure on the Cohomology of Hopf Algebras," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **132**, No. 10 (2004), 2859–2865.

[2] Freed, D., "The Cobordism Hypothesis," *Bulletin of the American Math. Society*, **50**, No. 1 (2013), 57–92.

[3] Gerstenhaber, G., "The Cohomology Structure of an Associative Algebra," *Annals of Mathematics*, **78**, No. 2 (1962), 267–288.

[4] Hochschild, G., "On the Cohomology Groups of an Associative Algebra," *Annals of Mathematics*, **46**, No. 1 (1945), 58–67.

[5] Hochschild, G., "On the Cohomology Theory For Associative Algebras," *Annals of Mathematics*, **47**, No. 3 (1946), 568–579.

[6] Kaufmann, R., "Module Space Actions on the Hochschild Co-chains of a Frobenius Algebra I: Cells Operad," *Journal of Noncommutative Geometry*, **1**, No. 3 (2007), 333–384.

[7] Kontsevich, M; Soibelman, Y., "Notes on A-infinity Algebras, A-infinity Categories and Non-commutative Geometry, I" `www.arXiv.org`, math.RA/0606241, 2006.

[8] Loday, J.-L., *Cyclic Homology*, second ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 301, Spring Verlag, New York, 1998.

[9] Lodder, J., "A Comparison of Products in Hochschild Cohomology," `www.arXiv.org`, 1211.0649, 2014.

[10] MacLane, S., *Homology*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1975.

[11] Menichi, L., "Connes-Moscovici Characteristic Map is a Lie Algebra Morphism," *Journal of Algebra* **331**, No. 1 (2011), 311–337.

[12] Steenrod, N., "Products of Cocycles and Extensions of Mappings," *Annals of Mathematics*, **48**, No. 2 (1947), 290–320.

[13] Teleman, C., "Five Lectures on Topological Field Theory," preprint, University of California, Berkeley, 2014.

[14] Tradler T.; Zeinalian, M., "On the Cyclic Deligne Conjecture," *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **204**, No. 2 (2006), 280–299.

[15] Wahl, N.; Westerland, C., "Hochschild Homology of Structured Algebras," `www.arXiv.org`, 1110.0651, 2011.